AN ATTEMPT AT AN ALGEBRAIC THEORY OF CRYSTAL STRUCTURE. PART 4.

DAVID A ABOAV

29 Clements Road, Chorleywood, Hertfordshire, WD3 5JS, UK. (Accepted July 18, 2000)

ABSTRACT

Since, for reasons to be examined in a later instalment of this work, the postulates of Euclid do not seem suited for the purpose, an attempt is made to classify regularities in the observed diffraction of X-rays by matter in the crystalline state on a 'Pythagorean', or purely numerical basis

Keywords: crystal structure, Yarmolyuk and Kripyakevich's rule.

"I am quite satisfied if we have the machinery for making predictions, even if we are unable to understand it clearly" (Einstein, 1953).

INTRODUCTION

It has been shown that in some cases the number of polyhedra that go to make up the postulated unit cell of a crystal, and the number of the cell's vertices, are proportional to the solutions of a simple Diophantine equation (Aboav, 1997; 1998a). These solutions do not describe the partition of the cell into its differently shaped polyhedra (Aboav, 1998b), though as we shall see in a moment a further numerical assumption makes such a description possible.

Relations depending on arithmetical operations, like the adding or multiplying of integers, will here be considered separately from those that depend on geometrical ones, such as the measurement of length and angle.

NUMERICAL

Pythagoras's discovery that the interval of 7 octaves is roughly equal to that of 12 major fifths, i.e. that $2^7 \sim (3/2)^{12}$, or

$$2^{a} \sim 3^{b} \tag{1}$$

where a = 19, b = 12, may be regarded as a borderline case (x = y = 1) of a more general approximation

$$2^{a}x \sim 3^{b}y \tag{2}$$

where x and y in this instance are integers, one of which is prime, and the other is either prime, or the product of 5 (or 7) and a prime number.

A pair of composite numbers, m and n, are now

and

defined thus:

$$III = 2 X/2 \tag{3}$$

(2)

$$\mathbf{n} = 3^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{y}/3^{\mathrm{6}} \tag{4}$$

Since these numbers are co-prime, m is not a multiple of n. Their ratio m/n, here denoted by A, is equal to $(2^{a}x/3^{b}y)(3^{6}/2^{7})$. Hence, since $2^{a}x \sim 3^{b}y$, A is approximately equal to $3^{6}/2^{7}$, that is,

 $m - 2^{a_v}/2^7$

The fraction $1-3^{6}m/2^{7}n$, or $1-3^{b}y/2^{a}x$, which is a measure of how closely $2^{a}x$ approximates $3^{b}y$, is here denoted by the Greek letter κ and called the *comma*:

$$\kappa = 1 - 3^6 n/2^7 m \tag{6}$$

[*Note*: In the above-quoted, celebrated instance of antiquity, where m and n are effectively put equal to 2^{12} and 3^6 respectively, $|\kappa| = 1 - 3^{12}/2^{19}$, or roughly 1/73. Known to musicians as the *comma of Pythagoras*, this value of κ represents the small but perceptible interval between such notes as D^b and C[#] played on a violin.]

50 solutions to the approximate relation (2), here denoted by [a b]{x y}, are listed in col. 2 of Table 1, which shows the relevant values of the co-primes m and n (col. 3) and of the comma (col. 4). Solution No. 39, [6 6]{5.5.5 11}, in which x is neither prime, nor the product of 5 (or 7) and a prime as required, is exceptionally listed in the table to allow every value of [f 1 0] from f = 1 through f = 10 (see Eq. 10 below) to appear in it.

No. [a b] [x y] m n <	Part	ition coefficients -	→			$(6 5^{1}/_{2})$	(6 5)	$(6 5^{1}/_{2} 5)$	$(6^{1}/_{2} 6 5)$				$(7 6^{1}/_{2} 6 5)$
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [55][233] 23 4 1/104 22 3 1 * * * * * * * * * * * 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 2 3 1 0 0 1 [55][233] 23 13 1/123 15 16 23 8 22 2 7 2 20 9 7 1 0 1 1 6 0 2 23 0 9 0 1 (78][53.13] 155 27 1/126 13 14 20 7 19 2 6 2 17 8 6 1 0 1 5 0 2 17 8 0 7 16 [76][109] 109 1 9 113 9 113 11 12 17 6 16 2 5 2 14 7 5 1 0 1 4 0 2 14 7 0 [76][1019] 109 1 9 1/138 9 10 14 5 1 2 4 2 11 6 4 1 0 1 3 0 2 0 2 8 5 0 7 16 [76][774] 235 11 1/157 1 8 11 4 10 2 3 2 8 5 3 1 0 1 3 5 0 2 17 8 0 [76][774][754] 32 1 1/157 1 9 2 10 1 2 3 0 2 8 5 3 1 0 1 2 0 2 8 5 1 7 16 [76][76][774] 235 11 1/157 9 2 10 2 2 30 11 2 9 2 10 2 2 10 2 2 10 2 3 0 4 13 9 10 [74][741] 33 149 26 1/162 1214 19 7 18 2 6 2 16 8 5 2 0 2 3 0 4 13 9 10 [74][741] 33 149 26 1/162 1214 19 7 18 2 6 2 16 8 5 2 0 2 3 0 4 13 9 10 [74][741] 33 113 12 2 0 2 18 3 7 2 2 2 5 4 2 1 0 0 1 0 1 7 0 7 4 0 14 26 2 5 1 [95][71][31,13] 32 65 1/206 29 36 47 18 46 2 17 2 44 19 11 7 0 7 7 4 0 14 26 25 1 1 [95][71][31,13] 32 63 1/264 32 0 3 18 12 2 0 2 11 2 2 5 1 6 8 7 0 7 7 1 0 0 14 7 7 1 7 [75][75][71][10153] 303 53 1/264 23 0 38 15 2 0 2 4 1 2 2 5 16 8 7 0 7 7 1 0 0 14 7 12 2 15 [75][10153] 303 53 1/264 23 0 38 15 2 2 4 2 1 2 2 5 3 6 1 7 0 7 1 0 0 2 2 3 4 3 1 [75][75][11053] 303 53 1/264 23 0 38 15 2 0 2 11 2 2 6 1 3 5 7 0 5 0 0 2 1 0 0 10 17 1 [75][75][1101] 17 38 1/373 16 2 2 7 11 6 2 6 1 10 2 2 14 2 5 16 8 7 0 7 1 0 0 2 2 3 4 3 1 [66][731 40 7 1/301 3 4 5 2 2 4 1 2 2 5 3 6 17 7 9 2 7 0 2 1 4 14 23 2 4 1 1/38 1/37 13 18 22 9 21 2 8 2 11 2 2 6 13 5 7 0 5 0 1 10 0 10 17 17 15 1/391 137 1 139 11 8 22 9 11 2 2 6 13 5 7 2 2 5 0 2 3 9 11 4 0 0 1 8 8 14 19 18 35 3 1/66 8 1/37 19 2 7 1 16 2 6 2 10 2 2 11 2 2 6 1 3 5 7 0 5 0 0 1 10 0 10 17 17 15 1/391 31 33 1/264 2 3 10 3 2 1 2 0 2 11 4 2 2 10 0 2 11 4 18 18 35 3 1/66 8 1/37 13 13 3 0 1 6 6 6 11 2 7 1 16 2 6 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 6 1 3 5 7 0 0 2 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 6 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 6 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 6 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 6 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 6 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 6 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 2 0 1 1 0 10 17 17 15 1/391 13 1 0 0 1 1/17 16 1 0 0 1 2 2 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 1 1 0 0 1 $	No.	[a b]{x y]	m	n	κ	n_1 n_2	n_1 n_2	$n_1 \ n_2 \ n_3$	n_1 n_2 n_3	d e	f g	h	n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4
01 55 [23] 23 4 1/14 2 2 3 1 ************************************	\downarrow												
02 175](675.7) 201 35 1/121 17 18 26 9 25 2 2 2 0 7 1 0 1 6 0 220 9 7 1 0 1 6 0 0 0 1 0 1 6 0 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 <td>01</td> <td>[5 5]{23 3}</td> <td>23</td> <td>4</td> <td>1/104</td> <td>2 2</td> <td>3 1</td> <td>* * *</td> <td>* * *</td> <td>1 0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0 0 3 1</td>	01	[5 5]{23 3}	23	4	1/104	2 2	3 1	* * *	* * *	1 0	0 0	1	0 0 3 1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	02	[7 5]{67 5.7}	201	35	1/121	17 18	26 9	25 2 8	2 23 10	8 1	0 1	7	0 2 23 10
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03	[8 6]{89 31}	178	31	1/123	15 16	23 8	22 2 7	2 20 9	7 1	0 1	6	0 2 20 9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04	[7 8]{5.31 3}	155	27	1/126	13 14	20 7	19 2 6	2 17 8	6 1	0 1	5	0 2 17 8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05	[9 5]{11 23}	132	23	1/131	11 12	17 6	16 2 5	2 14 7	5 1	0 1	4	0 2 14 7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06	[7 6]{109 19}	109	19	1/138	9 10	14 5	13 2 4	2 11 6	4 1	0 1	3	0 2 11 6
08 [76][5.47 41] 235 41 1/157 19 22 30 11 29 210 2 27 12 8 3 0 3 5 0 0 62][149]3 149 26 1/162 121 14 97 18 26 216 8 5 2 0 2 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 2 5 4 210 1 0 1 1 0 2 5 4 2 1 0 1 1 0 2 5 4 2 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1	07	[8 7]{43 5}	86	15	1/151	78	11 4	10 2 3	2 8 5	3 1	0 1	2	0 2 8 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	08	[7 6]{5.47 41}	235	41	1/157	19 22	30 11	29 2 10	2 27 12	8 3	0 3	5	0 6 21 14
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	09	[6 6]{149 13}	149	26	1/162	12 14	19 7	18 2 6	2 16 8	5 2	0 2	3	0 4 13 9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	[7 4]{7 11}	63	11	1/179	56	8 3	7 2 2	2 5 4	2 1	0 1	1	0 2 5 4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	[9 5]{31 5.13}	372	65	1/206	29 36	47 18	46 2 17	2 44 19	11 7	0 7	4	0 14 26 25
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	[67]{1033}	103	18	1/213	8 10	13 5	12 2 4	2 10 6	3 2	0 2	1	0 4 7 7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	[8 5]{41 43}	246	43	1/232	19 24	31 12	30 2 11	2 28 13	75	0 5	2	0 10 16 17
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14	[97]{7.1931}	532	93	1/228	41 52	67 26	66 2 25	2 64 27	15 11	0 11	4	0 22 34 37
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	[7 5]{101 53}	303	53	1/264	23 30	38 15	37 2 14	2 35 16	8 7	0 7	1	0 14 17 22
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	[10 6]{5 7}	40	7	1/301	3 4	5 2	4 2 1	2 2 3	1 1	0 1	0	0 2 2 3
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	17	[6 6]{7.31 19}	217	38	1/375	16 22	27 11	26 2 10	2 24 12	56	1 5	0	1 10 10 17
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	18	[7 5]{59 31}	177	31	1/397	13 18	22 9	21 2 8	2 19 10	4 5	1 4	0	1 8 8 14
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	19	[8 6]{157 5.11}	314	55	1/414	23 32	39 16	38 2 15	2 36 17	79	2 7	0	2 14 14 25
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20	$[4 6]{[37 3]}$	137	24	1/438	10 14	17 7	16 2 6	2 14 8	34	1 3	0	1 6 6 II
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	$[8 4]{13 41}$	234	41	1/4/6	1/24	29 12	28 2 11	2 26 13	5 /	2 5	0	2 10 10 19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	$[9 /]{10 / 5.5}$	428	/5	1/503	31 44	53 22	52 2 21	2 50 23	9 13	49	0	4 18 18 35
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	23	$[/0]{9/1/}$	9/	1/	1/540	/ 10	12 5	11 2 4	2 9 6	23	1 2	0	1 4 4 8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	$[8 8]{/.11 3}$	154	27	1/080	11 10	19 8	18 2 7	2 10 9	55	23	0	2 0 0 13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	25	$[96]{6/4/}$	268	4/	1/83/	19 28	33 14	32 2 13	2 30 15	59	4 5	0	4 10 10 23
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	$[65]{195}$	57	10	1/1216	4 6	7 3	6 2 2	2 4 4	1 2		0	1 2 2 5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	27	[8 6]{151 53}	302	53	1/2035	21 32	3/ 16	36 2 15	2 34 17	5 11	65	0	6 10 10 27
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	$[9 /]{4 / 11}$	188	33	1/3468	13 20	23 10	22 2 9	2 20 11	3 /	4 3	0	4 6 6 1/
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29	$[/ 0] \{ 131 \ 23 \}$	205	23	1/10/08	9 14	10 /	$15 \ 2 \ 0$	2 13 8	2 3	5 2	0	5 4 4 12 5 6 6 10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	$[3 /]{3.41 3}$	203	30 40	-1/0302	14 22	23 11	$24 \ 2 \ 10$	2 22 12 2 21 16	5 0 4 11	5574	0	J 0 0 19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	$[74]{517.7}$	219	49	-1/3900	19 50	54 IS	2 2 14 2 2 2 2	2 51 10	4 11	7 + 2 + 1	0	1 0 0 20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32 33	$[0 0]{57 15}$ $[5 1]{12 17}$	287	13	-1/1094	5 0 26 12	9 4 17 01	0 <u>2</u> 3 46 2 20	2 0 3 2 44 22	1 J 5 16	2 I 11 5	0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33	$[5 +]{+5 1/}{7 5}$	165	20	1/1006	20 42	$\frac{47}{20}$	40 2 20	2 44 22 2 17 10	$\frac{310}{27}$	5 2	0	5 4 4 16
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35	$[7 \ 5](5.11 \ 2)]$	/38	2)	-1/813	29/18	20 J 53 24	52 2 23	2 50 25	5 19	14 5	0	14 10 10 43
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36	$[35]{757.11}$	-91	16	-1/013	27 4 0 6 10	11 5	$10 \ 2 \ 2$	2 30 25	1 4	3 1	0	3 2 2 9
$ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 31 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & $	37	$[3 \ 7]{5 \ 29 \ 17}$	290	51	-1/629	19 32	35 16	34 2 15	2 32 17	3 13	10 3	0	10 6 6 29
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38	[9 4]{3 19}	108	19	-1/512	7 12	13 6	$12 \ 2 \ 5$	2 32 17 2 10 7	1 5	4 1	0	4 2 2 11
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39	$[66]{55511}$	125	22	-1/421	8 14	15 7	12 2 5 14 2 6	2 12 8	1 6	5 1	0	5 2 2 13
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40	$[107]{7723}$	392	69	-1/401	25 44	47 22	46 2 21	2 44 23	3 19	16 3	0	16 6 6 41
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41	$[7 5]{89 47}$	267	47	-1/393	17 30	32 15	31 2 14	2 29 16	2 13	11 2	0	11 4 4 28
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	42	[8 6]{71 5.5}	142	25	-1/371	9 16	17 8	$16 \ 2 \ 7$	2 14 9	1 7	6 1	0	6 2 2 15
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	43	$[9 9]{5.23 3}$	460	81	-1/348	29 52	55 26	54 2 25	2 52 27	3 23	20 3	Ő	$20 \ 6 \ 6 \ 49$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	44	$[5,5]{53,7}$	159	28	-1/339	10 18	19 9	18 2 8	2 16 10	1 8	7 1	0	7 2 2 17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45	[11 6]{11 31}	176	31	-1/317	11 20	21 10	$20 \ 2 \ 9$	2 18 11	19	8 1	0	8 2 2 19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46	[6 6]{193 17}	193	34	-1/301	12 22	23 11	22 2 10	2 20 12	1 10	9 1	0	9 2 2 21
48 [6 4]{29 23} 261 46 -1/265 16 30 31 15 30 2 14 2 28 16 1 14 13 1 0 13 2 2 29 49 [10 5]{13 5.11} 312 55 -1/251 19 36 37 18 36 2 17 2 34 19 1 17 16 1 0 16 2 2 35 50 [7 6]{17 3} 17 3 -1/198 1 2 2 1 * * * * * * * * 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 2	47	[8 5]{5.7 37}	210	37	-1/289	13 24	25 12	24 2 11	2 22 13	1 11	10 1	0	10 2 2 23
49 [105]{135.11} 312 55 -1/251 19 36 37 18 36 2 17 2 34 19 1 17 16 1 0 16 2 2 35 50 [76]{173} 17 3 -1/198 1 2 1 * * * * * * * 0 1 0 0 2 35 50 [76]{173} 17 3 -1/198 1 2 1 * * * * * * * 0 1 0 1 0 2 2 35	48	[6 4]{29 23}	261	46	-1/265	16 30	31 15	30 2 14	2 28 16	1 14	13 1	0	13 2 2 29
50 [7 6]{17 3} 17 3 -1/198 1 2 2 1 * * * * * * * 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 2	49	[10 5]{13 5.11}	312	55	-1/251	19 36	37 18	36 2 17	2 34 19	1 17	16 1	0	16 2 2 35
	50	[7 6]{17 3}	17	3	<u>-1</u> /198	1 2	2 1	* * *	* * *	0 1	1 0	0	1 0 0 2

Table 1. Partition of numbers m n proportional to solutions of 'Pythagorean' approximation $2^{a}x \sim 3^{b}y$.

Since by definition the comma cannot equal zero, relation (2) is necessarily approximate only. Exact

numerical relations can, however, be found to depend on it by partitioning m and n into a finite number, s, of positive integers m_s and n_s

$$m = \Sigma_s m_s \tag{7}$$

and

$$\mathbf{n} = \Sigma_{\rm s} \mathbf{n}_{\rm s} \tag{8}$$

such that $2m_s$ is a multiple of n_s for any value of s, that is,

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{s}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{9}$$

where $A_s = p$ or (p+1/2), p being a positive integer. The partitions depend on the chosen values of s and A_s ; but the corresponding values of n_s are in general indeterminate.

Our attention is here confined to partitions for which s = 4, with the aim of determining whether, from among the solutions of Table 1, there exist partitions of that kind for which no value of n_s is greater than 3; and, if so, to express the partition of the remaining solutions in the table in terms of them, the underlying object being to base the sought-after partitions on the simplest possible assumptions. To that end the requisite partition coefficients are determined as follows.

n is first expressed as the sum of a pair of integers, n_1 and n_2 (col. 5), the chosen values of A_s needed to express m_s being those nearest to A (Eq. 5), namely $A_1 = 6$, and $A_2 = 5^{1/2}$: $[(6|5^{1/2})]$; while in col. 6 the next nearest pair of values, $A_1 = 6$, and $A_2 = 5$: [(6|5)] is used for the same purpose. The immediate aim is to identify solutions of relation (2) for which no value of n_s exceeds 3. As the data show, there are in this case only two such solutions, namely [5 5]{23 3} and [7 6]{17 3}, listed in the table at Nos. 01 and 50, respectively, with their values of n_s shown in *italics*.

Again with the aim of identifying solutions for which no value of n_s exceeds 3, n is next expressed as the sum of three integers n_1 , n_2 , n_3 (cols. 7 and 8), the values of A_s for col. 7 being the closest to those already chosen, namely $A_1 = 6$, $A_2 = 5^1/_2$, and $A_3 = 5$: $[(6|5^{1}/_{2}|5)];$ while for col.8 the values $A_{1} = 6^{1}/_{2},$ $A_2 = 6$, and $A_3 = 5$: $[(6^{1}/_2|6|5)]$ are chosen. With the partition of n into three numbers in this way the values of n_s are in general indeterminate. In the table therefore, where lack of space does not allow more than one of the possible partitions to be shown, n_2 in col. 7, and n_1 in col. 8, are given the same, arbitrarily chosen value 2. It will be seen that for the partition $(6|5^{1}/2|5)$ (col. 7) there are no solutions, and that for the partition $(6^{1}/2|6|5)$ (col. 8) there is only one solution --- [10 6]{5 7}, listed at No.16 --- for which no value of n_s is greater than 3.

Finally, by introducing the further, proximate coefficient, $A_1 = 7$, into the partition $(6^1/_2|6|5)$ of col. 8, which as we have seen already possesses one of the required solutions, we obtain the partition $(7|6^1/_2|6|5)$, in which n is expressed as the sum of four numbers n_1 , n_2 , n_3 , and n_4 . This partition has two further solutions for which no value of n_s is greater than 3. The components (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) of the three solutions, namely $(0 \ 0 \ 3 \ 1)$, $(0 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3)$ and $(1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2)$, are listed in *italics* (Nos. 1, 16, 50) in the last column of Table 1.

These solutions enable the components of n for the remainder of the column to be expressed as sums of their products with positive integers f,g,h, which are functions of m and n only, thus:

$$(\mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{n}_2 + \mathbf{n}_3 + \mathbf{n}_4) \equiv \mathbf{f}(1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2) + \mathbf{g}(0 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3) + \mathbf{h}(0 \ 0 \ 3 \ 1)$$
(10)

so that the components of n on the left-hand side of this identity may be equated to the sum of the corresponding products on the right-hand side as follows:

$$n_{1} = f$$

$$n_{2} = 2g$$

$$n_{3} = 2g+3h$$

$$n_{4} = 2f+3g+h.$$

$$m_{1} = 7f$$

$$m_{2} = 12g$$

$$m_{3} = 12g$$

Hence

$$m_1 = 71 m_2 = 13g m_3 = 12g+18h m_4 = 10f+15g+5h$$

n = 3f + 7g + 4h

= 3u + 4v,

so that

and

$$m = 17f + 40g + 23h$$

= 17u + 23v, (13)

(12)

where u = (f+g) and v = (g+h). Hence $g \le u$ and $g \le v$. Moreover

u(3m-17n) = v(23n-4m)

$$m/n = (17u+23v)/(3u+4v)$$

or

where

and

i.e.

$$ud = ve$$
 (14)

$$e = (23n-4m);$$

d = (3m-17n),

so that

$$d+2e = 29n-5m$$

= 29(3f+7g+4h)-5(17f+4g+23h)

$$= 2f + 3g + h$$

from which it follows that

$$n_4 = 29n-5m.$$
 (15)

The choice of coefficients $(7|6^{1}/_{2}|6|5)$ thus makes one of the four numbers n_s , namely n_4 , independent of the partition, the other three numbers, n_1 , n_2 , n_3 , being in general indeterminate; though, as Eqs. (11) show, they are subject to the restrictions $n_1 < n_4$, and $n_2 \le n_3$.

The following illustration, with Nos. 14, 19, and 27 taken as examples (Table 2), shows how the numbers d, e of Eq. (14), which are listed for each of the solutions of Table 1 (col. 9) facilitate the evaluation of f, g, h (col. 10).

Table 2. Some solutions for nos. 14, 19, 27 of Table 1.

	no. 14	no. 19	no. 27
d	15	7	5
e	11	9	11
Eq(14)	15u = 11v	7u = 9v	5u = 11v
Sol ⁿ	u = 11, v=15	u = 9, v = 7	u = 11, v = 5
f g h	0 11 4	270	650

Table 3. Complete solutions for nos. 14, 19, 27 of Table 1.

n	o. 14		r	10. 19	r	no. 27			
f	g	h	f	g	h	f	g	h	
0	11	4	2	7	0	6	5	0	
1	10	5	3	6	1	7	4	1	
2	9	6	4	5	2	8	3	2	
3	8	7	5	4	3	9	2	3	
4	7	8	6	3	4	10	1	4	
5	6	9	7	2	5	11	0	5	
6	5	10	8	1	6				
7	4	11	9	0	7				
8	3	12							
9	2	13							
10	1	14							
11	0	15							

Whereas a single trio of numbers f, g, h as shown in the bottom line of Table 2 represents for these three examples a possible solution of the indeterminate equation (14), to obtain the complete solutions the trios with all possible values of g, in this case those for which $0 \le g \le 1$; $0 \le g \le 7$; and $0 \le g \le 5$, respectively (Table 3), have to be taken into account. These solutions are set out in full in Table 3, beginning in each case with the trio for which g has its largest value, i.e. for which g = 11, 7, or 5, respectively, and f or h = 0. Since for lack of space not all values of f, g, h like those shown in Table 3 can be included in Table 1, only those for which f and/or h = 0 are listed. From these numbers and Eq. (10) a required partition of n (col. 11) can be obtained for each entry in the table, thus realizing the first aim of this investigation.

There remains to be seen, however, whether such a purely numerical system can contribute to the setting up of an axiomatic framework on which to base an adequate description of Nature.

PHYSICAL

The aspect of Nature to be considered here is the behaviour of light (or, more generally, of radiation) in the presence of matter in the crystalline state, the example chosen being the scattering of X-rays by some tetrahedrally close-packed alloys of the transition metals. Shoemaker and Shoemaker (1986) listed experimental data for 20 such alloys, some metrical properties of whose crystal structure have already been considered (Aboav, 1998b). Our attention is now briefly directed to the topology of the structure.

In Table 4, cols 2 and 3 are the same as cols 10 and 11 of Table 1. In col. 4 are listed the alloys investigated by Shoemaker and Shoemaker, while p, q, r, x, the numbers of 16-, 15-, 14-, and 12-hedra (called P, Q, R, X, respectively) per unit cell of the alloys are given in col. 6.

For each of these unit cells Yarmolyuk and Kripyakevich (1974) found an empirical formula for $P_pQ_q R_rX_x$ expressible as

$$P_p Q_q R_r X_x \to (PX_2)_i (Q_2 R_2 X_3)_j (R_3 X)_k$$
 (16)

where i, j, k, whose values for the alloys of Shoemaker and Shoemaker are listed in col. 5 of Table 4, are integers. This apparent restriction on the relative values of p q r x is here referred to as the *rule of Yarmolyuk and Kripyakevich*. As the following fact suggests, the rule being a numerical one may not require a geometrical explanation.

From the above table it will be seen that to each value of [i j k] there corresponds an identical value of [f g h], and to each value of [p q r x] an identical value of $[n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4]$. When these identical numbers are placed in alignment, there appear gaps in the entries of cols. 4-6, which once again suggest that the experimental data may be incomplete (Aboav, 1998b). (Not all the values of [i j k] are equal to those of [f g h] shown in Table 1, those of Nos. 14, 19, and 27 equalling instead the values shown in *italics* in Table 3, which for lack of space could not, as we have already seen, be included in Table 1.).

No.	f	g	h	n ₁	n ₂	n ₃	n ₄	Alloy	i	j	k	р	q	r	х
01	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	CrAl	0	0	1	0	0	3	1
02	0	1	7	0	2	23	10								
03	0	1	6	0	2	20	9								
04	0	1	5	0	2	17	8								
05	0	1	4	Õ	2	14	7								
06	Ő	1	3	Õ	2	11	6								
07	0	1	2	0	2	8	5	CrFe	0	1	2	0	2	8	5
08	0	3	5	0	6	21	14							-	-
09	0	2	3	0	4	13	9								
10	0	1	1	0	2	5	4								
11	0	7	4	0	14	26	25								
12	0	2	1	0	4	7	7								
13	0	5	2	0	10	16	17								
14	0	11	4	0	22	34	37	MnSi	6	5	10	6	10	40	37
15	Ő	7	1	Õ	14	17	22		-	-					
16	0	1	0	0	2	2	3	ZrAl	0	1	0	0	2	2	3
17	1	5	0	1	10	10	17								-
18	1	4	0	1	8	8	14								
19	2	7	0	2	14	14	25	MnFeSi	7	2	5	7	4	19	25
20	1	3	0	1	6	6	11				-			-	-
21	2	5	0	2	10	10	19								
22	4	9	0	4	18	18	35								
23	1	2	0	1	4	4	8								
24	2	3	0	2	6	6	13								
25	4	5	0	4	10	10	23								
26	1	1	0	1	2	2	5								
27	6	5	0	6	10	10	27	MrCrCo	8	3	2	8	6	12	27
28	4	3	0	4	6	6	17								
29	3	2	0	3	4	4	12								
30	5	3	0	5	6	6	19								
31	7	4	0	7	8	8	26								
32	2	1	0	2	2	2	7	MoCo	2	1	0	2	2	2	7
33	11	5	0	11	10	10	37								
34	5	2	0	5	4	4	16								
35	14	5	0	14	10	10	43								
36	3	1	0	3	2	2	9								
37	10	3	0	10	6	6	29								
38	4	1	0	4	2	2	11	VNiSi	4	1	0	4	2	2	11
39	5	1	0	5	2	2	13								
40	16	3	0	16	6	6	41								
41	11	2	0	11	4	4	28								
42	6	1	0	6	2	2	15	VCoSi	6	1	0	6	2	2	15
43	20	3	0	20	6	6	49	MgZnAl	20	3	0	20	6	6	49
44	7	1	0	7	2	2	17	-							
45	8	1	0	8	2	2	19								
46	9	1	0	9	2	2	21								
47	10	1	0	10	2	2	23	MnCoSi	10	1	0	10	2	2	23
48	13	1	0	13	2	2	29								
49	16	1	0	16	2	2	35	MgZn	16	1	0	16	2	2	35
50	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	MgZn	1	0	0	1	0	0	2

Table 4. Relation of numerical solutions of Table 1 to the crystal structure of some tetrahedrally close-packed alloys (Shoemaker and Shoemaker, 1986).

It is remarkable that, despite their different origin, relations (2) and (16) should furnish identical groups of numbers, either of which can be used to describe

the same topological property of a crystal's structure. This identity is not to be expected, since relation (2) has nothing to do with the notions of geometry that play a seemingly essential part in our customary interpretation of the X-ray photograph of a crystal. A doubt therefore arises as to whether this phenomenon requires such notions for its description.

Such doubts are not new: indeed, a century-and-ahalf has elapsed since Riemann (1854), recognizing that the rules of everyday geometry do not necessarily apply in cases where, as for example in Haüy's (1784) 'molecular' picture of a crystal, the scale is so reduced that the notions of the solid body and the ray of light are no longer valid, expressed the opinion:

"...es ist also sehr wohl denkbar, dass die Massverhältnisse des Raumes im Unendlichkleinen den Voraussetzungen der Geometrie nicht gemäss sind, und dies würde man in der That annehmen müssen, sobald sich dadurch die Erscheinungen auf einfacherer Weise erklären liessen." (Riemann, 1854).

("....it is thus quite conceivable that relations of size on an infinitesimally small scale are not in accord with the postulates of geometry, and this one would indeed have to assume, as soon as it allowed the phenomena to be more simply accounted for.")

This doubt, which haunts us still, is not easy to allay; for, in seeking to be rid of it, not only are we faced with the task of finding suitable assumptions to take the place of those laid down in the *Elements*, but history has left little or no trace of the discoveries and decisions known to have been made by Pythagoras and his successors in the 2 centuries before the publication of that great work, discoveries and decisions which must have played no small part in determining the path Euclid was eventually to follow and which would help us immeasurably in our present task, could we but know what they were. All we can do, alas, is to guess what they may have been and try to reconstruct the route by which Euclid arrived at his assumptions, an undertaking we venture to hazard in the next instalment of this work.

(to be continued)

REFERENCES

- Aboav DA (1997). An attempt at an algebraic theory of crystal structure. Acta Stereol.
- Aboav DA (1997). An attempt at an algebraic theory of crystal structure. Acta Stereol, 16:41-53; 1998a.
- Aboav DA (1997). An attempt at an algebraic theory of crystal structure. Acta Stereol, 17:113-22; 1998b
- Aboav DA (1997) An attempt at an algebraic theory of crystal structure. Acta Stereol, 17:273-82.
- Einstein A (1953). Letter to M Born dated December 3.
- Haüy R-J (1784). Essai d'une théorie sur la structure des crystaux. Paris.
- Riemann B (1854). Ueber die Hypothesen welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen. Göttingen.
- Shoemaker DP and Shoemaker CB (1986). The relative number of atomic coordination types in tetrahedrally close packed metal structures. Acta Cryst B46:3-11.
- Yarmolyuk YP and Kripyakevich PI (1974). (Kristallografiya, 1974, 19:539-45) Sov Phys Crystallogr 19:334-7.